Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/681,523	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LIN LIU	2145
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>LIN LIU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark P. Watson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>4 April 2008</u>	Time: <u>1:30-2:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 101		
Claims discussed: 23, 25-29 and 31-32		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		



Application No. 10/681,523

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephonic interview was conducted with applicant's representative Mark P. Watson in discussing the amendment submitted. Examiner proposed examiner's amendment to applicant's representative to further narrow the claims for further examination. Applicant's representative agreed with examiner's amendment.